



JUN 24 2025

## BOARD of CLALLAM COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

### MINUTES for the week of June 16-20, 2025

#### WORK SESSION – 9 a.m.

The work session convened at 9 a.m., Monday, June 16, 2025. Present were Commissioners Johnson, Ozias and French and Administrator Mielke.

Items of discussion per the agenda published June 12 were:

- Calendar/Correspondence
- Resolution reappointing Roberta Stoneman and Dan Peacock to the Noxious Weed Control Board
- Resolution appointing Pamela Hill to the Clallam Bay/Sekiu Sewer Advisory Committee
- Agreement amendment 1 with Department of Licensing to extend the term for licensing services
- Agreement amendment 4 with Department of Health for maternal and child health, vaccines, drinking water group A systems
- Discussion and next steps for proposed projects for Title III of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act funding
- Memorandum of understanding amendment 3 with the City of Forks for Opportunity Fund Program
- Request for waiver of bid/RFP/quote requirements XK300 medical sensors from Reassurance Solutions
- Agreement with Department of Children, Youth and Families for housing Juvenile Rehabilitation Administration JRA offenders in the Clallam County Jail
- Agreement with Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe for prisoner confinement services
- Agreement with Wellpoint Washington, Inc. for True Star Behavioral Health Services
- Agreement with United Healthcare for True Star Behavioral Health Services
- Clallam County True Star Behavioral Health presentation
- Pre-application questionnaire with Administrative Office of the Courts for Small and Rural Court Security Matching Program
- Discussion regarding Administrator performance review
- Agreement with Department of Corrections for use of the County's sewer outfall in Sekiu
- Agreement with Clallam Public Utility District No. 1 for Kark Fiber – Broadband Infrastructure Project
- Agreement amendment 1 with Department of Transportation for Olympic Discovery Trail
- Agreement with Sargent Engineers, Inc. for the construction of a multiuser trail bridge – Olympic Discovery Trail
- Clallam County Comprehensive Plan land capacity analysis report

Meeting concluded at 11:44 a.m.

#### REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF CLALLAM COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Chair French called the meeting to order at 10 a.m., Tuesday, June 17, 2025. Also present were Commissioner Johnson and Administrator Mielke. Commissioner Ozias was excused.

#### REQUEST FOR MODIFICATIONS/APPROVAL OF AGENDA

**ACTION TAKEN:** CRJm to adopt the agenda as presented, CMFs, mc

#### CONSENT AGENDA

1a Approval of vouchers for the week of June 9

The Following warrants and electronic payments are approved for payment:

| Accounts Payable:                                 | Total                 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Warrant numbers: 9940078-9940105; 9940133-9940300 | <b>\$1,491,406.15</b> |
| Electronic payments dates: n/a                    | \$0.00                |
| Total Accounts Payable:                           | <b>\$1,491,406.15</b> |

1b Approval of minutes for the week of June 9

1c Request for waiver of bid/RFP/quote requirement with Spaceworx for privacy booths

**ACTION TAKEN:** CRJm to adopt the consent agenda, CMFs, mc

## **REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS**

- CRJ reported on kids ballet show, North Olympic Discovery Marathon, Timber Counties Caucus, Clallam Conservation District
- CMF reported on Fire Department ride along, Clallam Bay Slip Point, Clallam Bay Sekiu Advisory Committee, Grays Harbor, Recompete

## **CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS**

2a Agreement with Conservation Technix, Inc. to develop an updated Parks Master Plan

**ACTION TAKEN: CRJm to approve, CMFs, mc**

2b Agreement amendment 3 with Department of Social and Health Services – Developmental Disabilities Administration for services for persons with developmental disabilities

**ACTION TAKEN: CRJm to approve, CMFs, mc**

2c Agreement amendment F with Salish Behavioral Health Administrative Services Organization for services related to Criminal Justice Treatment Account for substance use disorder outpatient services

**ACTION TAKEN: CRJm to approve, CMFs, mc**

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- Peter Craig, Carlsborg, commented on item 2a, Parks and Recreation Advisory Board
- Eric Fehrmann, Sequim, commented on that this is his last meeting, he has not seen anything positive from the Commissioners, drug addiction, medical care, Olympic Medical Center hospital services are not great, Jefferson County Hospital has better services, businesses are leaving
- John Worthington, Sequim, commented on Marine Resources Committee meeting public comment session, CERN, North Olympic Development Council
- Jeff Tozzer, Sequim, commented Representative Randall, trust land, Elwha Road, Tribes
- Ed Telenick, Sequim, commented on Eric Fehrmann's comments, taxes, housing issues, politics, law enforcement

The meeting concluded at 10:34 a.m. and continued to Monday, June 23, 2025 at 9 a.m.

The Board of Commissioners attended a WSAC Virtual Update, Department of Natural Resources quarterly report Board of Health, Coffee with Colleen, and Clallam Transit Meeting during the week of June 16, 2025.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June 2025

BOARD OF CLALLAM COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

*Excused*  
Mike French, Chair  
*Randall S. Johnson*  
Randy Johnson  
*Mark Ozias*  
Mark Ozias

ATTEST:  
*Loni Gores*  
Loni Gores, MMC, Clerk of the Board



# **BOCC Mail Correspondences Received from other Jurisdictions**

# Port Angeles Rayonier Mill Public Comment Period

Photo credit: Jon Thompson

## Comments accepted

June 12 – August 12, 2025

## Submit comments



Online:

[go.ecology.wa.gov/  
comment2270](https://go.ecology.wa.gov/comment2270)

Or by mail/email:

Marian Abbett, Site Manager  
WA Department of Ecology  
PO Box 47775  
Olympia, WA 98504-7775  
[Marian.Abbett@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Marian.Abbett@ecy.wa.gov)

## Review documents

Online:

[go.ecology.wa.gov/2270](https://go.ecology.wa.gov/2270)

In-person:

- Port Angeles Main Library
- Ecology Lacey Office  
(by appointment only)

## Site information

📍 700 N Ennis, Port Angeles  
Facility Site ID: 19  
Site Cleanup ID: 2270

## Learn more

For more information, visit  
[ecology.wa.gov/Rayonier](https://ecology.wa.gov/Rayonier)

## Public comments welcomed on cleanup documents

The Department of Ecology invites you to review and comment on documents for the Port Angeles Rayonier Mill cleanup. The study area of this site is moving to the next phase of cleanup. Your feedback is an important part of this process.

Ecology worked with the Lower Elwha Klallam Tribe to develop and review these documents. We seek your input on the following:

- **Legal Agreement:** To move the cleanup forward, Ecology will enter into a **consent decree** with the parties responsible for cleanup—Rayonier A.M. Properties LLC and Rayonier Advanced Materials (collectively referred to as “RAMP” or “Rayonier”). The consent decree is a legal agreement that would require RAMP to carry out Ecology’s Interim Action Plan.
- **Draft Interim Action Plan:** Ecology’s proposed cleanup plan for the study area. It includes a schedule and cleanup requirements.
- **State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Determination of Non-Significance:** Ecology determined the proposed cleanup is not likely to cause significant environmental harm. We considered environmental, historical, and cultural factors in this process.

## Public meeting and open house

Join us for a public meeting and open house during the comment period. This is an opportunity to learn more about the cleanup, ask questions, and submit written comments.

📅 **Tuesday, July 8 | 5:00-8:00 PM**

- Presentation at **6:00 PM**, followed by Q&A session
- Open house before and after the presentation

📍 **Field Arts & Events Hall, Donna M. Morris Theater**  
201 W Front St, Port Angeles, WA 98362

RECEIVED  
CLALLAM CO. COMMISSIONERS  
JUN 10 2025  
1...2...3...A...

## The Rayonier Mill Study Area

The Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) is Washington’s law for cleaning up contaminated areas. It defines a “cleanup site” as any place where contamination is harming or threatening humans or the environment. A cleanup site can include more than the property where contamination originally was released. If the contamination has spread, nearby areas may be part of the site too.

The Rayonier Mill cleanup is a large, complex project. Rayonier and Ecology have studied the area and evaluated cleanup options for many years. We focused our investigations on a portion of the site, called the **study area**, where the highest levels of contamination are located.

Ecology is now proposing final cleanup actions for the study area, which includes two main parts:

- **Upland Area:** Soil and groundwater on the former mill property.
- **Marine Area:** Nearby harbor waters and contaminated sediments.




Figure 1: A map of the Rayonier Mill Study Area.



Figure 2: Rayonier Mill while in operation, 1990s.

## Background

The Rayonier Mill study area is located on the northern Olympic Peninsula along the Strait of Juan de Fuca shoreline. It is on the east side of Port Angeles Harbor, mostly within the City of Port Angeles.

The study area lies within the traditional territory of the Klallam and S’Klallam people. The Lower Elwha Klallam, Jamestown S’Klallam, and Port Gamble S’Klallam Tribes have reserved treaty rights in the region. This cleanup is situated near I’e’nis, a historically significant Klallam village.

Industrial activity began in 1887 with a sawmill, followed by a government-built spruce mill in 1917. In 1929, the study area was converted into a pulp mill, which Rayonier purchased in the 1930s and operated until 1997. While the mill produced specialty pulp, it also released harmful chemicals into the environment.

## Contaminants

The Rayonier Mill cleanup will work to address contaminants in the upland and marine areas of the study area. These substances can pose a risk to human health through direct contact with contaminated materials or by eating fish and shellfish exposed to these contaminants.

In the upland area, **soil and groundwater** are impacted by:

- **Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)**
- **Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)**
- **Dioxins**
- **Ammonia**
- **Metals:** Such as arsenic, iron, lead, zinc, thallium, copper, manganese, and nickel
- **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH):** Diesel and heavy oils
- **Elevated pH:** Impacting groundwater quality




Figure 3: This image shows how contamination is distributed in the upland area's soil and groundwater.

In the marine area, **marine sediments and animal tissue** are impacted by:

- **Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)**
- **Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)**
- **Metals:** Such as arsenic and lead
- **Dioxins**
- **Phenols**
- **Phthalates**
- **Woody debris:** May impact habitat and water quality




Figure 4: This image shows how contamination is distributed in the marine area. This includes in the sediments at the bottom of the water and the tissue of marine animals living in the water.

## Proposed cleanup plans

Rayonier has already begun cleanup of the study area. Between 1993-2008, Rayonier removed over 30,000 tons of the most contaminated soil from the upland area. However, low levels of contamination remain across the study area.

Some cleanups are done in phases, addressing the areas that need the most urgent attention first. When we clean up a section of a larger site like this, we call it an **interim action**. The draft Interim Action Plan proposes how Rayonier will clean up the remaining contamination in the study area. This plan is designed to meet Washington's cleanup standards and protect human health and the environment. We expect this Interim Action Plan will be the final cleanup actions needed for the Rayonier Mill study area. Rayonier remains responsible for any additional cleanup of the site, outside of the study area, as required by MTCA.

### Soil cleanup plan

Our proposed soil cleanup plan is based on how we expect the land will be used in the future. Currently, most of the land is zoned for industrial use. A part of the land bought by the City of Port Angeles will keep being used for industrial purposes. In that area, the cleanup will need to meet industrial cleanup levels. We don't yet know how the rest of the land will be used, so Rayonier must clean it up to the highest safety standards, called **unrestricted land use levels**. This would allow for a variety of future uses in the future.

The top layer of soil in the upland area has a low level of contamination. Ecology proposes excavating all contaminated soil (about 55,000 cubic yards). Excavations will go about one foot down from the ground's surface in most areas. Some areas may require deeper soil removal. Excavated areas within the potential restoration area would be restored with clean backfill. See the map for more details.

The contaminated soil will be **consolidated and contained** under a **protective cap** within Rayonier's property (see page 6 for details).



Figure 5: A map of proposed soil cleanup activities. Please note that these are approximate locations.

### Marine sediment cleanup plan

Our plan divides the marine sediment cleanup area into four unique sections called Sediment Management Areas (SMAs). We considered environmental protection, cost-effectiveness, and future harbor use when tailoring the proposed cleanup methods for each SMA.

**SMA 1** is the area around the former mill dock landing. **SMA 2** is the former log pond area. The cleanup plans for SMAs 1 and 2 include **excavating** or **dredging** contaminated sediments or using **Enhanced Monitored Natural Recovery (EMNR)**. Dredged areas will be backfilled and shorelines restored as gravel beaches. About 20,200 cubic yards of contaminated sediment will be removed and sent off-site for disposal or placed in the upland soil consolidation area. EMNR will be used in the non-dredged areas. This process adds a layer of clean material to the harbor floor to mix into contaminated surface sediment and to speed up the area's natural recovery.

**SMA 3** is the area under the dock. **SMA 4** is the nearshore area. Proposed cleanup methods for these SMAs will be determined once additional data collection and modeling are completed. Possible remedies include EMNR, thin-layer capping, dredging, or no action. Before this cleanup work happens, the treated timbers of the dock and jetty must be removed because they are an ongoing source of contamination.




Figure 6: A map of proposed soil cleanup activities. Please note that these are approximate locations.

### Plan for capping contaminated soil and sediment

In total, up to 128,000 cy of excavated soil and sediment could be consolidated and capped in the upland area. This work could raise the ground level by approximately five feet across a 10-acre portion on the western side of the upland area. Final quantities and locations will be determined in the next phase of cleanup design.

A 2-foot-thick protective cap will be placed over the consolidated soil to prevent exposure to humans and wildlife (see image). The capped area will be located at least 200 feet from the shoreline, consistent with the Port Angeles Shoreline Master Plan. The final design will be completed during the next phase of planning. For more information on capping, please review the [EPA's Community Guide to Capping](https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/401585.pdf).<sup>1</sup>



Figure 7: An illustration of a sample cap design.

### Groundwater cleanup plan

We're proposing a cleanup method called **air sparging** to address contamination in groundwater. This process uses special wells, called **remediation wells**, to pump compressed air deep into the ground. The vertical remediation wells are connected to above-ground machines that pump the air down.

The pumped air raises oxygen levels in the groundwater. As the air bubbles rise toward the surface, they help naturally occurring bacteria break down harmful chemicals. The extra oxygen also helps reduce other contaminants, like manganese and ammonia, by changing them into less harmful forms. When manganese changes form, it can also trap other metals, helping to clean the groundwater even further.




Figure 8: An illustration showing the air sparging process.

### Ongoing Protection and Monitoring

After the soil cleanup is complete, long-term steps will help keep the area safe. Rayonier will check the protective cap on a regular basis and report findings to Ecology. Limiting access to the area will also help make sure the cap stays in good condition. Fencing and information signs will be installed to help protect the cap and the public. A legal agreement called an Environmental Covenant will also be put in place. It will limit how the land in the capped area can be used. It will also keep a public record of where the capped contamination exists. Any future development of the capped area within the property will need approval from Ecology so we can ensure the contaminated soil continues to be capped.

If land use changes, the cap could be re-designed. For example, we are currently proposing a cap made of 2 feet of clean soil. However, if the area was ever re-developed for commercial use, it could be leveled and

<sup>1</sup> <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/401585.pdf>

capped with an asphalt parking lot and building(s). We used commercial use as an example, but re-designs could be used for any change in land use with Ecology approval and oversight.

Sediment and groundwater monitoring will continue after cleanup work is finished. This will make sure the cleanup goals are being met. Combined, these actions will help protect people and the environment for the long term.

## Next steps in the cleanup process



The formal cleanup process in Washington follows several key steps, as shown in the graphic above. Right now, we are in the “Plan the Cleanup” step for the study area portion of the site.

After the public comment period, we will carefully consider and respond to comments. We will finalize the documents, including any changes based on public comments, then file with the Superior Court for entry as an Order. At that point, Rayonier will submit required cleanup plans to Ecology following the schedule outlined in the consent decree and Interim Action Plan.

You can now **subscribe to the Rayonier Mill cleanup page**.<sup>2</sup> Those subscribed will receive a weekly automated email update whenever there is a comment period, a new document, or an update to the cleanup details. For more information about this new subscription tool, please visit Ecology’s blog.<sup>3</sup>

## Estimated Cleanup Timeline & Costs

**The cleanup is expected to take up to 10 years**, including design, permitting, and construction. Soil cleanup should take 7 years, while groundwater and sediment cleanup may take 10 years. Since these efforts will happen at the same time, the full project is expected to be completed within 10 years. Adjustments may be made as the cleanup progresses.

The cleanup is expected to cost around \$30 million. Rayonier is responsible for the costs of this cleanup and ongoing monitoring and maintenance.

## What to Expect Next

- **June 12 – August 12, 2025:** Public comment period on the consent decree, Interim Action Plan, and SEPA checklist and determination.
- **Late summer – fall 2025:** Ecology will review and respond to public comments. If updates to the documents are needed, they will be revised. The final documents will be submitted to the Superior Court for approval.
- **After documents are finalized:** Rayonier will follow the Interim Action Plan’s cleanup schedule.

<sup>2</sup> <https://go.ecology.wa.gov/2270>

<sup>3</sup> <https://ecology.wa.gov/blog/may-2025/updates-on-cleanup-sites-in-your-inbox>

Toxics Cleanup Program  
PO Box 47775  
Olympia, WA 98504-7775

PRSR STD  
US POSTAGE PAID  
WA STATE DEPT  
OF ENTERPRISE SRVCS  
98501

14111  
141

CLALLAM COUNTY BD OF COMM  
OR CURRENT OCCUPANT  
223 E 4TH ST STE 4  
PORT ANGELES WA 98362-3000



**Comment Period for Rayonier Mill  
Consent Decree, Draft Interim Action Plan,  
and SEPA Determination**

**Comments accepted:** June 12 – August 12, 2025

**Public Meeting & Open House**

July 8, 2025, from 5:00 – 8:00 PM

Field Arts & Events Hall, Port Angeles WA

**Contact Information**

Marian Abbett, Site Manager

[Marian.Abbett@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Marian.Abbett@ecy.wa.gov)

**ADA Accessibility**

To request an ADA accommodation, contact Ecology by phone at 564-250-0561 or email at [abby.zabrodsky@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:abby.zabrodsky@ecy.wa.gov), or visit <https://ecology.wa.gov/accessibility>

For Relay Service or TTY call 711 or 877-833-6341.



**THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
FOR CLALLAM COUNTY**

**JUDGES**  
**Brent Basden**  
**Simon Barnhart**  
**Elizabeth Stanley**



**Courthouse**  
**223 East Fourth Street, Ste 8**  
**Port Angeles, WA 93862-3015**

**360-504-1386 or 360-417-2486**

**COMMISSIONER**  
**Brian Parker**

**MEMO**

**RECEIVED**  
**CLALLAM CO. COMMISSIONERS**  
**JUN 12 2025**  
**1...2...3...A...**

To: Clallam County Board of County Commissioners  
Cc: Monicka Anderson - Interim Director, Information Technology  
Date: June 12, 2025  
Re: Superior Court Use of Zoom v Teams

Per the request of the IT Department to cut costs and utilize Teams for Superior Court hearings, we reached out to other counties and to our courtroom recording vendor JAVS for input regarding Teams for Court Hearings. Attached is the information we received.

The consensus from other courts is that Zoom is better than Teams for court hearings.

It is our understanding that this project was motivated by a potential cost savings. In our outreach we found that to upgrade the technology through JAVS in the four Superior Court courtrooms [to be able to utilize the Teams platform] it would cost approximately \$23,384.00.

Attached is also an email from Monicka Anderson (Interim Director, Information Technology) that states "While investigating JAVS, it does not appear that there is a cost-effective way to utilize Teams" for use in the courtrooms. Monicka states that she thinks the better approach would be to determine who needs to host the meetings for each of those courtrooms, and then eliminate the additional licenses, so we're only paying for what we need. Kaysey Clayton met with Monicka and they determined that there were 3 Superior Court Zoom licenses that could be eliminated: Michelle Warren [Parents for Parents Program, which has ended], Lacey Halberg and Judge Elizabeth Stanley.

Based on this information we will continue to use Zoom for our court hearings.

## Clayton, Kaysey

---

**From:** Anderson, Monicka  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:51 AM  
**To:** Clayton, Kaysey  
**Subject:** RE: Meet with me Re: Teams?

While investigating JAVS, it does not appear that there is a cost-effective way to utilize Teams, unless they are working on something on their end. Do you have a contact?

I think the better approach would be to determine who needs to host the meetings for each of those courtrooms, and then eliminate the additional licenses, so we're only paying for what we need.

Thank you,

Monicka Anderson  
Interim Director  
Clallam County  
Information Technology  
223 East 4<sup>th</sup> Street  
Port Angeles, WA 98362  
(360) 417-2599  
[Monicka.Anderson@clallamcountywa.gov](mailto:Monicka.Anderson@clallamcountywa.gov)  
[www.ClallamCountyWa.gov](http://www.ClallamCountyWa.gov)

*I am sending this email at a time that is convenient for me. Please feel free to respond at a time that is convenient for you.*

---

**From:** Clayton, Kaysey <[kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov](mailto:kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:00 AM  
**To:** Anderson, Monicka <[monicka.anderson@clallamcountywa.gov](mailto:monicka.anderson@clallamcountywa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Meet with me Re: Teams?

Monicka,

Would you or someone else super savvy with Teams be able to meet with me soon (today) to have a conversation/walk-through/troubleshooting for the possibility of the courts transitioning from Zoom to Teams?

Sincerely,

**Kaysey Clayton**

Clallam County Superior Court  
Deputy Court Administrator  
223 E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 8  
Port Angeles, WA. 98362  
360-417-2486  
NEW: [kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov](mailto:kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov)

## Clayton, Kaysey

---

**From:** Pamela S. Ray <PRay@stevenscountywa.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:42 AM  
**To:** Clayton, Kaysey; AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** RE: Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

We use Webex for Ferry and Stevens and Zoom for Pend Oreille. We have Teams phones in Stevens County and I have to admit I'm not real impressed with the system, but just my opinion.

*Pamela S. Ray*  
*Superior & Juvenile Court Administrator*  
*Ferry, Pend Oreille, & Stevens Counties*  
*215 South Oak St., Room 209*  
*Colville, WA 99114*  
*(509) 684-7552*  
*[Pray@stevenscountywa.gov](mailto:Pray@stevenscountywa.gov)*

---

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> On Behalf Of Clayton, Kaysey  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:07 AM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

Hey all!

---

We are looking into the possibility of transitioning to Teams (since our county already pays for the licensing for it) from Zoom.

Are you using Teams for your courtroom hearings?  
If you are, do you have JAVS for your recording system?  
If yes, does it work well together?  
Would you switch back to Zoom (or your previous platform) if you could?  
Anything we should know about your experience with Teams vs Zoom? [The good, the bad, the ugly?]

Anything you would like to share would be greatly appreciated. Hoping to make the most informed decision we can.

Sincerely,  
**Kaysey Clayton**  
Clallam County Superior Court  
Deputy Court Administrator  
223 E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 8  
Port Angeles, WA. 98362  
360-417-2486  
NEW: [kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov](mailto:kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov)

## Clayton, Kaysey

---

**From:** Paddy McGuire <PMcGuire@masoncountywa.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:55 AM  
**To:** Clayton, Kaysey; AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** RE: Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

You don't often get email from pmcguire@masoncountywa.gov. [Learn why this is important](#)

In Mason County, our IT Department announced that everyone was going to have to move from Zoom to Teams. I stalled and the Board of County Commissioners changed for exactly one meeting and then went back to Zoom. No one has mentioned the switch since then.

I'd say that Zoom is the devil we know, rather than being a systemically better option.

Paddy

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> **On Behalf Of** Clayton, Kaysey  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:07 AM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

**Caution: External Email Warning!** This email has originated from outside of the Mason County Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

---

Hey all!

We are looking into the possibility of transitioning to Teams (since our county already pays for the licensing for it) from Zoom.

Are you using Teams for your courtroom hearings?

If you are, do you have JAVS for your recording system?

If yes, does it work well together?

Would you switch back to Zoom (or your previous platform) if you could?

Anything we should know about your experience with Teams vs Zoom? [The good, the bad, the ugly?]

Anything you would like to share would be greatly appreciated. Hoping to make the most informed decision we can.

Sincerely,

**Kaysey Clayton**

Clallam County Superior Court  
Deputy Court Administrator  
223 E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 8

## Clayton, Kaysey

---

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators  
<AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> on behalf of Somers, Andrew  
<000000971b168d31-dmarc-request@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 12:08 PM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** Re: [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

I could write quite a bit about this that is easier to convey over a phone call. In a nutshell, I believe that ZOOM is the far better and preferred option for courtroom videoconferencing. Based on other underwhelming activities with Teams that we have experienced, I don't believe my bench would have confidence with Teams in their courtrooms, and I'm fairly certain they would reject it in comparison to ZOOM.

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> **On Behalf Of** Clayton, Kaysey  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:07 AM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?



CAUTION: This email originated from outside of this organization. Please exercise caution with links and attachments.

---

Hey all!

We are looking into the possibility of transitioning to Teams (since our county already pays for the licensing for it) from Zoom.

Are you using Teams for your courtroom hearings?

If you are, do you have JAVS for your recording system?

If yes, does it work well together?

Would you switch back to Zoom (or your previous platform) if you could?

Anything we should know about your experience with Teams vs Zoom? [The good, the bad, the ugly?]

Anything you would like to share would be greatly appreciated. Hoping to make the most informed decision we can.

Sincerely,

**Kaysey Clayton**

Clallam County Superior Court

Deputy Court Administrator

223 E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 8

Port Angeles, WA. 98362

360-417-2486

NEW: [kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov](mailto:kaysey.clayton@clallamcountywa.gov)

## Clayton, Kaysey

---

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators  
<AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> on behalf of Crystal Burns  
<cburns@GRANTCOUNTYWA.GOV>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 12:57 PM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** Re: [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

We went from Webex to Zoom and Zoom is by far better! We have not used Teams, but our District Court did and quickly moved from it to Zoom. They did not like Teams at all.

Crystal Burns  
Court Administrator  
Grant County Superior Court  
35 C St. NW  
P.O. Box 37  
Ephrata, WA 98823  
509-754-2011 x. 4144

---

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> **On Behalf Of** Somers, Andrew  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 12:08 PM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** Re: [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

---

**\*\*EXTERNAL EMAIL\*\***

This email originated from outside Grant County's network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I could write quite a bit about this that is easier to convey over a phone call. In a nutshell, I believe that ZOOM is the far better and preferred option for courtroom videoconferencing. Based on other underwhelming activities with Teams that we have experienced, I don't believe my bench would have confidence with Teams in their courtrooms, and I'm fairly certain they would reject it in comparison to ZOOM.

---

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <[AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV](mailto:AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV)> **On Behalf Of** Clayton, Kaysey  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:07 AM  
**To:** [AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV](mailto:AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV)  
**Subject:** [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?



CAUTION: This email originated from outside of this organization. Please exercise caution with links and attachments.

## Clayton, Kaysey

---

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators  
<AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> on behalf of Pamela Hartman Beyer  
<Pamela.HartmanBeyer@CLARK.WA.GOV>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 1:09 PM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** Re: [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

We use Zoom and JAVS.

When the Zoom outage happened a couple of weeks ago, we tried moving our hearings to teams as a back up. Our IT stated that we could not connect the Polycoms through Teams because we didn't pay for that specific license to run through the Polycoms. If you do make the change, make sure you don't need a license. It may offset the costs of the actual savings.

Pam

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> **On Behalf Of** Crystal Burns  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 12:57 PM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** Re: [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

**EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.**

We went from Webex to Zoom and Zoom is by far better! We have not used Teams, but our District Court did and quickly moved from it to Zoom. They did not like Teams at all.

Crystal Burns  
Court Administrator  
Grant County Superior Court  
35 C St. NW  
P.O. Box 37  
Ephrata, WA 98823  
509-754-2011 x. 4144

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <[AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV](mailto:AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV)> **On Behalf Of** Somers, Andrew  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 12:08 PM  
**To:** [AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV](mailto:AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV)  
**Subject:** Re: [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

## Clayton, Kaysey

---

**From:** Romero, Britt <Brittany.Romero@co.snohomish.wa.us>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2025 1:42 PM  
**To:** Clayton, Kaysey  
**Subject:** RE: Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?

You don't often get email from brittany.romero@co.snohomish.wa.us. [Learn why this is important](#)

So I know that Andrew already responded for our county and what he said is true- but we do use TEAMS for our ITA hearings. We don't have JAVS though, we use Liberty for recording- and it works just fine. I do believe we would switch to Zoom here as well if it were feasible.

One thing to consider is that I don't think TEAMS has the ability to have an interpreter channel for simultaneous interpreting. Not sure how often you might be having interpreters appear remotely- but that could be an impact.

**Britt Romero** | Deputy Court Administrator  
Snohomish County Superior Court  
3000 Rockefeller Ave. M/S 502 | Everett, WA 98201

---

**From:** Association of Washington Superior Court Administrators <AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV> **On Behalf Of** Clayton, Kaysey  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:07 AM  
**To:** AWSCA@LISTSERV.COURTS.WA.GOV  
**Subject:** [AWSCA] Anyone Using Teams for Courtroom Hearings?



CAUTION: This email originated from outside of this organization. Please exercise caution with links and attachments.

Hey all!

We are looking into the possibility of transitioning to Teams (since our county already pays for the licensing for it) from Zoom.

Are you using Teams for your courtroom hearings?

If you are, do you have JAVS for your recording system?

If yes, does it work well together?

Would you switch back to Zoom (or your previous platform) if you could?

Anything we should know about your experience with Teams vs Zoom? [The good, the bad, the ugly?]

Anything you would like to share would be greatly appreciated. Hoping to make the most informed decision we can.

Sincerely,  
*Kaysey Clayton*

# PROPOSAL

## JUST-4870

Revision: 0


### WA Clallam County Superior Court **Ct. 1** SID-02062 Vaddio Bridge

WA Clallam County Superior Court

Modified: 5/28/2025

Quote Valid for 30 Days

OPP-53138



Presented By:

Shelly Knopf

#### **Justice AV Solutions, Inc**

13020 Middletown Industrial Blvd

Louisville, KY 40223 US

(502) 244-8788

[www.javs.com](http://www.javs.com)



Page 2 of 2

# SCOPE OF WORK

WA Clallam County Superior Court

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ctrm. 1 SID-02062 add Vaddio Bridge

The court currently utilizes a Polycom for video conferencing and they conference with Zoom. The court is looking at the possibility of transitioning from Zoom to Teams.

The court would like to use their OFE PCs and capture a Teams or any other soft conferencing on their current JAVS system.

---

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ct. 1 SID-02062 Vaddio Bridge

\* Price Includes Accessories

Project No : JUST-6070    Rev:

5/28/2025

CR-1510612025-27

Page 2 of 5

## USB Soft Conferencing System

|     |                                                                                                                                           |            |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 1   | <b>Vaddio 999-8215-000</b><br>AV Bridge Conference System                                                                                 | \$3,416.00 |
| 1   | <b>Icron 2311</b><br>Icron Ranger 2311 - Single-port USB 2.0 extender up to 100m/ 330ft over CAT5E or better cabling.                     | \$420.00   |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-MC-BD</b><br>Bi-Directional SDI/HDMI with Power Supply                                                                        | \$200.00   |
| 1   | <b>ShowMeCables 47-300-012</b><br>USB to HDMI Adapter with Audio Converter                                                                | \$116.00   |
| 1   | <b>Comprehensive Cable NFHD18G-3PROBLK</b><br>NanoFlex™ Pro AV/IT Integrator Series™ Certified 4K 18G High Speed HDMI Cable Jet Black 3ft | \$19.99    |
| 2   | <b>Windy City Wire HOL-BNC6-MCV</b><br>RG6 Compression BNC                                                                                | \$8.00     |
| 1   | <b>Monoprice 5380</b><br>Cat6 Punch Down Keystone Jack - Green                                                                            | \$2.50     |
| 225 | <b>Windy City Wire RG6HDP-BLK</b><br>Type RG 6/U Plenum, 18 AWG Bare Copper Serial Digital [Black]                                        | \$274.50   |
| 150 | <b>Windy City Wire 556600-S</b><br>23-4P UNS SOL PLNM C6 Wht Jkt                                                                          | \$96.00    |
| 2   | <b>Monoprice 7266</b><br>Cat6 Plug Solid W/Insert 50U                                                                                     | \$0.70     |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-LABOR-VC</b><br>Labor for Video Conference Installation                                                                       | \$740.00   |

**Equipment: \$4,553.69**

**Labor: \$740.00**

**USB Soft Conferencing System Total \$5,293.69**

## Shipping

|   |                                      |          |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | <b>JAVS JAV-SHIPPING</b><br>Shipping | \$100.00 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|

**Equipment: \$100.00**

**Labor: \$0.00**

**Shipping Total \$100.00**

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ct. 1 SID-02062 Vaddio Bridge

|                            |                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Equipment Subtotal:</b> | <b>\$4,653.69</b> |
| <b>Labor Subtotal:</b>     | <b>\$740.00</b>   |
| <b>Project Subtotal:</b>   | <b>\$5,393.69</b> |

# PROJECT SUMMARY

|                     |                   |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| Equipment:          | \$4,653.69        |
| Labor:              | \$740.00          |
| Sales Tax:          | \$453.07          |
| <b>Grand Total:</b> | <b>\$5,846.76</b> |

## Payment Terms

| Payment Schedule                                                        | Amount     | Due Date |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Initial Payment Prior to Scheduling                                     | \$1,461.69 |          |
| Final Payment Upon Completion **Payment Due 30 Days from Invoice Date** | \$4,385.07 |          |

Client accepts this Quote inclusive of its Scope of Work, Pricing and Payment Terms. Contractor agrees to furnish the equipment and materials listed and perform the work in an expedient, workmanlike and professional manner.

Client: Lacey Fors

Date

*Shelly Knopf*

*5/28/2025*

Contractor: Justice AV Solutions, Inc

Date

WA Clallam County Superior Court CL 1 SID-02062 Vaddio Bridge

# PROPOSAL

## JUST-4871

Revision: 0


### WA Clallam County Superior Court **Ct. 2 SID-02061 Vaddio Bridge**

WA Clallam County Superior Court

Modified: 5/28/2025

Quote Valid for 30 Days

OPP-53139



Presenter: Jys

Shelly Knopf

#### **Justice AV Solutions, Inc**

13020 Middletown Industrial Blvd

Louisville, KY 40223 US

(502) 244-8788

[www.javs.com](http://www.javs.com)



PROPOSAL JUST-4871

# SCOPE OF WORK

WA Clallam County Superior Court

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ctrm. 2 SID-02061 add Vaddio Bridge

The court currently utilizes a Polycom for video conferencing and they conference with Zoom. The court is looking at the possibility of transitioning from Zoom to Teams.

The court would like to use their OFE PCs and capture a Teams or any other soft conferencing on their current JAVS system.

---

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ct. 2 SID-02061 Vaddio Bridge

## USB Soft Conferencing System

|     |                                                                                                                                           |            |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 1   | <b>Vaddio 999-8215-000</b><br>AV Bridge Conference System                                                                                 | \$3,416.00 |
| 1   | <b>Icron 2311</b><br>Icron Ranger 2311 - Single-port USB 2.0 extender up to 100m/ 330ft over CAT5E or better cabling.                     | \$420.00   |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-MC-BD</b><br>Bi-Directional SDI/HDMI with Power Supply                                                                        | \$200.00   |
| 1   | <b>ShowMeCables 47-300-012</b><br>USB to HDMI Adapter with Audio Converter                                                                | \$116.00   |
| 1   | <b>Comprehensive Cable NFHD18G-3PROBLK</b><br>NanoFlex™ Pro AV/IT Integrator Series™ Certified 4K 18G High Speed HDMI Cable Jet Black 3ft | \$19.99    |
| 2   | <b>Windy City Wire HOL-BNC6-MCV</b><br>RG6 Compression BNC                                                                                | \$8.00     |
| 1   | <b>Monoprice 5380</b><br>Cat6 Punch Down Keystone Jack - Green                                                                            | \$2.50     |
| 225 | <b>Windy City Wire RG6HDP-BLK</b><br>Type RG 6/U Plenum, 18 AWG Bare Copper Serial Digital [Black]                                        | \$274.50   |
| 150 | <b>Windy City Wire 556600-S</b><br>23-4P UNS SOL PLNM C6 Wht Jkt                                                                          | \$96.00    |
| 2   | <b>Monoprice 7266</b><br>Cat6 Plug Solid W/Insert 50U                                                                                     | \$0.70     |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-LABOR-VC</b><br>Labor for Video Conference Installation                                                                       | \$740.00   |

Equipment: \$4,553.69

Labor: \$740.00

**USB Soft Conferencing System Total \$5,293.69**

## Shipping

|   |                                      |          |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | <b>JAVS JAV-SHIPPING</b><br>Shipping | \$100.00 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|

Equipment: \$100.00

Labor: \$0.00

**Shipping Total \$100.00**

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ct. 2 SID-02061 Vaddio Bridge

|  |                            |                   |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|
|  | <b>Equipment Subtotal:</b> | <b>\$4,653.69</b> |
|  | <b>Labor Subtotal:</b>     | <b>\$740.00</b>   |
|  | <b>Project Subtotal:</b>   | <b>\$5,393.69</b> |

# PROJECT SUMMARY

|            |            |
|------------|------------|
| Equipment: | \$4,653.69 |
| Labor:     | \$740.00   |
| Sales Tax: | \$453.07   |

|                     |                   |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Grand Total:</b> | <b>\$5,846.76</b> |
|---------------------|-------------------|

## Payment Terms

| Payment Schedule                                                        | Amount     | Due Date |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Initial Payment Prior to Scheduling                                     | \$1,461.69 |          |
| Final Payment Upon Completion **Payment Due 30 Days from Invoice Date** | \$4,385.07 |          |

Client accepts this Quote inclusive of its Scope of Work, Pricing and Payment Terms. Contractor agrees to furnish the equipment and materials listed and perform the work in an expedient, workmanlike and professional manner.

Client: Lacey Fors

Date

*Shelly Knopf*

*5/28/2025*

Contractor: Justice AV Solutions, Inc

Date

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ct. 2 SID-02061 Vaddio Bridge

# PROPOSAL JUST-4872

Revision: 0


## WA Clallam County Superior Court Ct. 3 SID-01151 Vaddio Bridge

WA Clallam County Superior Court

Modified: 5/28/2025

Quote Valid for 30 Days

OPP-53140



Prepared By:

Shelly Knopf

### Justice AV Solutions, Inc

13020 Middletown Industrial Blvd

Louisville, KY 40223 US

(502) 244-8788

[www.javs.com](http://www.javs.com)



10/20/2025 10:44 AM 2025 5/28/2025

# SCOPE OF WORK

WA Clallam County Superior Court

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ctrm. 3 SID-01151 add Vaddio Bridge

The court currently utilizes a Polycom for video conferencing and they conference with Zoom. The court is looking at the possibility of transitioning from Zoom to Teams.

The court would like to use their OFE PCs and capture a Teams or any other soft conferencing on their current JAVS system.

## USB Soft Conferencing System

|     |                                                                                                                                           |            |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 1   | <b>Vaddio 999-8215-000</b><br>AV Bridge Conference System                                                                                 | \$3,416.00 |
| 1   | <b>Icron 2311</b><br>Icron Ranger 2311 - Single-port USB 2.0 extender up to 100m/ 330ft over CAT5E or better cabling.                     | \$420.00   |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-MC-BD</b><br>Bi-Directional SDI/HDMI with Power Supply                                                                        | \$200.00   |
| 1   | <b>ShowMeCables 47-300-012</b><br>USB to HDMI Adapter with Audio Converter                                                                | \$116.00   |
| 1   | <b>Comprehensive Cable NFHD18G-3PROBLK</b><br>NanoFlex™ Pro AV/IT Integrator Series™ Certified 4K 18G High Speed HDMI Cable Jet Black 3ft | \$19.99    |
| 2   | <b>Windy City Wire HOL-BNC6-MCV</b><br>RG6 Compression BNC                                                                                | \$8.00     |
| 1   | <b>Monoprice 5380</b><br>Cat6 Punch Down Keystone Jack - Green                                                                            | \$2.50     |
| 225 | <b>Windy City Wire RG6HDP-BLK</b><br>Type RG 6/U Plenum, 18 AWG Bare Copper Serial Digital [Black]                                        | \$274.50   |
| 150 | <b>Windy City Wire 556600-S</b><br>23-4P UNS SOL PLNM C6 Wht Jkt                                                                          | \$96.00    |
| 2   | <b>Monoprice 7266</b><br>Cat6 Plug Solid W/Insert 50U                                                                                     | \$0.70     |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-LABOR-VC</b><br>Labor for Video Conference Installation                                                                       | \$740.00   |

**Equipment: \$4,553.69**

**Labor: \$740.00**

**USB Soft Conferencing System Total \$5,293.69**

## Shipping

|   |                                      |          |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | <b>JAVS JAV-SHIPPING</b><br>Shipping | \$100.00 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|

**Equipment: \$100.00**

**Labor: \$0.00**

**Shipping Total \$100.00**

WA Clallam County Superior Court Ct. 3 SID-01151 Vaddio Bridge

|                            |                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| <hr/>                      |                   |
| <b>Equipment Subtotal:</b> | <b>\$4,653.69</b> |
| <b>Labor Subtotal:</b>     | <b>\$740.00</b>   |
| <hr/>                      |                   |
| <b>Project Subtotal:</b>   | <b>\$5,393.69</b> |
| <hr/>                      |                   |

# PROJECT SUMMARY

|                     |                   |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| Equipment:          | \$4,653.69        |
| Labor:              | \$740.00          |
| Sales Tax:          | \$453.07          |
| <b>Grand Total:</b> | <b>\$5,846.76</b> |

## Payment Terms

| Payment Schedule                                                        | Amount     | Due Date |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Initial Payment Prior to Scheduling                                     | \$1,461.69 |          |
| Final Payment Upon Completion **Payment Due 30 Days from Invoice Date** | \$4,385.07 |          |

Client accepts this Quote inclusive of its Scope of Work, Pricing and Payment Terms. Contractor agrees to furnish the equipment and materials listed and perform the work in an expedient, workmanlike and professional manner.

Client: Lacey Fors

Date

Shelly Knopf

5/28/2025

Contractor: Justice AV Solutions, Inc

Date

WA Clallam County Superior Court CL 3 SID-01151 Vaddio Bridge

# PROPOSAL JUST-4873

Revision: 0


## WA Clallam County Superior Court Juvi Ct 4 SID-01152 Vaddio Bridge

WA Clallam County Superior Court

Modified: 5/28/2025

Quote Valid for 30 Days

OPP-53141



PROPOSAL FOR

Shelly Knopf

### Justice AV Solutions, Inc

13020 Middletown Industrial Blvd

Louisville, KY 40223 US

(502) 244-8788

[www.javs.com](http://www.javs.com)



# SCOPE OF WORK

WA Clallam County Superior Court

WA Clallam County Superior Court Juvenile Ctrm. SID-01152 add Vaddio Bridge

The court currently utilizes a Polycom for video conferencing and they conference with Zoom. The court is looking at the possibility of transitioning from Zoom to Teams.

The court would like to use their OFE PCs and capture a Teams or any other soft conferencing on their current JAVS system.

---

WA Clallam County Superior Court Juvi Ct 4 SID-01152 Vaddio Bridge

Project No : JUSP-1075 Rev:

\* Price Includes Accessories  
5/28/2025 CPM/JMP/RL/SGP

Page 2 of 5

## USB Soft Conferencing System

|     |                                                                                                                                           |            |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 1   | <b>Vaddio 999-8215-000</b><br>AV Bridge Conference System                                                                                 | \$3,416.00 |
| 1   | <b>Icron 2311</b><br>Icron Ranger 2311 - Single-port USB 2.0 extender up to 100m/ 330ft over CAT5E or better cabling.                     | \$420.00   |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-MC-BD</b><br>Bi-Directional SDI/HDMI with Power Supply                                                                        | \$200.00   |
| 1   | <b>ShowMeCables 47-300-012</b><br>USB to HDMI Adapter with Audio Converter                                                                | \$116.00   |
| 1   | <b>Comprehensive Cable NFHD18G-3PROBLK</b><br>NanoFlex™ Pro AV/IT Integrator Series™ Certified 4K 18G High Speed HDMI Cable Jet Black 3ft | \$19.99    |
| 2   | <b>Windy City Wire HOL-BNC6-MCV</b><br>RG6 Compression BNC                                                                                | \$8.00     |
| 1   | <b>Monoprice 5380</b><br>Cat6 Punch Down Keystone Jack - Green                                                                            | \$2.50     |
| 225 | <b>Windy City Wire RG6HDP-BLK</b><br>Type RG 6/U Plenum, 18 AWG Bare Copper Serial Digital [Black]                                        | \$274.50   |
| 150 | <b>Windy City Wire 556600-S</b><br>23-4P UNS SOL PLNM C6 Wht Jkt                                                                          | \$96.00    |
| 2   | <b>Monoprice 7266</b><br>Cat6 Plug Solid W/Insert 50U                                                                                     | \$0.70     |
| 1   | <b>JAVS JAV-LABOR-VC</b><br>Labor for Video Conference Installation                                                                       | \$740.00   |

**Equipment: \$4,553.69**

**Labor: \$740.00**

**USB Soft Conferencing System Total \$5,293.69**

## Shipping

|   |                                      |          |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | <b>JAVS JAV-SHIPPING</b><br>Shipping | \$100.00 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------|

**Equipment: \$100.00**

**Labor: \$0.00**

**Shipping Total \$100.00**

WA Clallam County Superior Court Juvi Ct 4 SID-01152 Vaddio Bridge

|                            |                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Equipment Subtotal:</b> | <b>\$4,653.69</b> |
| <b>Labor Subtotal:</b>     | <b>\$740.00</b>   |
| <b>Project Subtotal:</b>   | <b>\$5,393.69</b> |

# PROJECT SUMMARY

|            |            |
|------------|------------|
| Equipment: | \$4,653.69 |
| Labor:     | \$740.00   |
| Sales Tax: | \$453.07   |

|                     |                   |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Grand Total:</b> | <b>\$5,846.76</b> |
|---------------------|-------------------|

## Payment Terms

| Payment Schedule                                                        | Amount     | Due Date |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Initial Payment Prior to Scheduling                                     | \$1,461.69 |          |
| Final Payment Upon Completion **Payment Due 30 Days from Invoice Date** | \$4,385.07 |          |

Client accepts this Quote inclusive of its Scope of Work, Pricing and Payment Terms. Contractor agrees to furnish the equipment and materials listed and perform the work in an expedient, workmanlike and professional manner.

Client: Lacey Fors

Date

Shelly Knopf

5/28/2025

Contractor: Justice AV Solutions, Inc

Date

WA Clallam County Superior Court Juvi Ct 4 SID-01152 Vaddio Bridge