

Meeting Minutes: Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC)
March 24, 2021; 3pm
Virtual BlueJeans Meeting (Recording available)

Abbreviations: City of Port Angeles (CoPA), City of Sequim (CoSq), Clallam County Public Works (CCPW) & Environmental Health (CCEH), Waste Connections (WC), Green Solutions (GS), Solid Waste Management Plan (SWMP), Solid Waste (SW), Department of Ecology (ECY), Clallam County (ClaCo)

I. Call to Order (3:03pm). Roll Call:

- A. Members (quorum): Mark Ozias, Meggan Uecker (ClaCo Rep/Alt) Ann Soule (CoSq Public Works Alt); Chad Young (WC Rep); Charlie McCaughan, LaTrisha Scruggs (CoPA rep/alt); Arleen Jenson (Ag Rep), Christy Cox, Cynthia Bork (Citizen Rep/Alt), Rod Fleck (Forks rep)
- B. Staff: Rick Hlavka and Sharon Conroy (GS); David Pater, Peter Lyon (ECY); Megan Juran (CCPW); Thomas Hunter, Gregg King (CoPA), Eli Owens (CCEH)
- C. Public: Brian Berardo

II. Request for Modifications/Additions to Agenda

- A. Meggan asked to add a Paintcare update to agenda at beginning.

III. Approval of Minutes for the Meeting on December 16, 2020

- A. Motion to approve minutes by Cynthia, Jenson seconded. Approved.

IV. Public Comment

- A. Brian Berardo stated that he has written Clallam's state legislators to support Styrofoam/plastics reduction legislation. Meggan noted that E2SSB 5022 contains a variety of plastics reduction/recyclability requirements and is still alive. WA State Assoc. of Counties has testified on behalf of counties in support.

V. Discussion Items

- A. Update on Paintcare.
State law requiring stewardship of latex, oil paint and associated materials via a fee-based take back program beginning 4/1/21. Peter Lyon noted this is managed by Paintcare, who works with take back sites. Currently Clallam has two signed up, both in Sequim.
- B. Update on regional solid waste contract
Thomas H. shared that CoPA has voted to terminate the contract for service components including transfer station operation between CoPA and Waste Connections. Questions (from constituents, etc.) can be directed to Thomas.
- C. Preliminary SWMP Review
 - 1. Preliminary plan was presented at County & Sequim Planning Commissions, 2/9 Public Hearing and advertised for public comment through 3/5/21. Five sets of public comments were received. GS prepared memo responding to each comment; included copy of comment.
 - Comment 1/Response: No objections.

- Comment 2/Response. GS noted that Styrofoam is in Plan on Table 7-3 as should be recycled if markets available. Ann S. suggested topic as a side bar, felt should be addressed in Plan. Brian reiterated volunteer support. GS noted current grant available from Foam Recycling Coalition.
- Comment 3/Response. Ann requests addition of cities to title. No objections. Ann will work w/ GS on addition.
- Comment 4/Response. Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe's (JKT) comments on rec:
 - o WC2. LaTrisha-support. Chad- who would enforce. GS- WUTC has allowed 2 G-certs in this county, so there may be question of service w/ whom? Rod-Forks may not support and the connection w/ taxing district, flow control recs—which has been discussed before. GS- complex topic that would require analysis. Christy-Plan could note that JKT supports. Meggan-will come before electeds during adoption process; Plan implementation.
 - o WR10. LaTrisha-wishes rec was stronger. Meggan-state action also occurring on this topic.
- 2. Agency and State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) review occurred. SEPA review by ClallamCo. Dept. of Community Dev.'s Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) had no public comment. WA Utilities & Transportation Council, Dept. of Ag review had limited comment needing no plan changes.
- 3. Ecology review letter and comments.
 - County will need to prepare a response to comments to be included with final submission.
 - Urban-rural designation. David P. acknowledged ECY's comment was erroneously based on Forks' designated as urban (per County/Forks Comp Plan) but SWMP designates as rural. Current language sufficient.
 - Inclusion of CoPA Cap. Facilities Plan in 11.13. CoPA will work w/ County/GS to respond or revise.
 - Misc. Waste additions. Meggan will consult w/ Code Enforcement due to materials suggested for addition. GS noted that their contract limited # of misc. wastes included.
 - No additional SWAC comments were provided. County/GS will respond or revise in consultation w/ cities, additional stakeholders as appropriate.

VI. **Future Agenda Items**

A. Next steps:

- GS will produce final draft with assistance from cities, county.
- Presentation to councils/BOCC will be scheduled, including resolutions of adoption.

- Final draft reviewed at May SWAC.
- Final draft submitted to Ecology for 45 day review.

VII. **Adjournment (4:45 pm)**